

## **REMARKS**

In an Office Action dated September 28, 2006, Claims 2-10, 22 and 25-33 were rejected under U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0083266 issued to Comstock et al. ("Comstock") and Claims 21-23, 25-26, 31-45 and 55-59 have been rejected under U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Comstock in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0205213 issued to Paz et al. ("Paz"). Applicant has reviewed Examiner's remarks and rejections, but respectfully disagrees on the grounds presented below.

### **Claims 2-10, 22, and 25-33**

Independent Claim 2 includes language pertaining to an image correcting circuitry. The language cited by Examiner discusses a policy manager that "may use information such as the number of displays available and the resolution, size and capabilities of a display." This is not image correcting circuitry. Comstock does not show each and every element of Claim 2. Withdrawal of the rejection for Claim 2 is respectfully requested.

Claim 3 depends on independent Claim 2 and include all of the elements of Claim 2. In addition, Comstock does not state each of a plurality of workstations is capable of accessing and operating a plurality of remote networking devices through the remote management unit. Although Comstock discusses that a user interface 138 may include controls for moving cameras, this is not equivalent to the element discussed in Claim 3. For the reasons discussed above with respect to Claim 2 and Claim 3, withdrawal of the rejection for Claim 3 is respectfully requested.

Claim 4 depends on independent Claim 2 and include all of the elements of Claim 2. In addition, Comstock does not mention control of power supply for each of the

remote networking devices. For the reasons discussed above with respect to Claim 2 and Claim 4, withdrawal of the rejection for Claim 3 is respectfully requested.

Claims 5-10, 22, 25 and 26 each depend on independent Claim 2 and include all of the elements of Claim 2. For the reasons discussed above with respect to Claim 2, withdrawal of the rejections for Claims 5-10, 22, 25 and 26 is respectfully requested.

Independent Claim 27 includes language pertaining to an correcting the digital video signals. The language cited by Examiner discusses a policy manager that “may use information such as the number of displays available and the resolution, size and capabilities of a display.” This is not correcting the digital video signals. Comstock does not show each and every element of Claim 27. Withdrawal of the rejection for Claim 27 is respectfully requested.

Claims 28-31 and 33 each depend on independent Claim 27 and include all of the elements of Claim 27. For the reasons discussed above with respect to Claim 27, withdrawal of the rejections for Claims 28-31 and 33 is respectfully requested.

#### **Claims 11-21, 23-24, 32 and 34-46**

Claim 11 depends on independent Claim 2 and includes all of the elements of Claim 2. Paz does not make obvious any of the elements not shown in Comstock as discussed above with respect to Claim 2. In addition, the paragraphs cited by the Examiner do not discuss or mention an input interface circuit for detecting a color palette utilized by the remote network device. For the reasons discussed above with respect to Claims 2 and 11, respectively, withdrawal of the rejection for Claim 11 is respectfully requested.

Claim 12 depends on independent Claim 2 and includes all of the elements of Claim 2. Paz does not make obvious any of the elements not shown in Comstock as discussed above with respect to Claim 2. In addition, the paragraphs cited by the Examiner do not discuss or mention a synchronization circuit for receiving horizontal and vertical synchronization signals. For the reasons discussed above with respect to Claims 2 and 12, respectively, withdrawal of the rejection for Claim 12 is respectfully requested.

Claim 13 depends on independent Claim 2 and dependent Claim 13 and includes all of the elements of Claim 2 and 12, respectively. Paz does not make obvious any of the elements not shown in Comstock as discussed above with respect to Claims 2 and 12, respectively. In addition, the paragraphs cited by the Examiner do not discuss or mention a mode detection circuit. For the reasons discussed above with respect to Claims 2, 12 and 13, respectively, withdrawal of the rejection for Claim 13 is respectfully requested.

Claim 14 depends on independent Claim 2 and includes all of the elements of Claim 2. Paz does not make obvious any of the elements not shown in Comstock as discussed above with respect to Claim 2. In addition, the paragraphs cited by the Examiner do not discuss or mention an auto-adjustment circuit which performs at least one of the cited processes. For the reasons discussed above with respect to Claims 2 and 14, respectively, withdrawal of the rejection for Claim 14 is respectfully requested.

Claim 15 depends on independent Claim 2 and dependent Claim 14 and includes all of the elements of Claim 2 and 14, respectively. Paz does not make obvious any of the elements not shown in Comstock as discussed above with respect to Claims 2 and 14, respectively. In addition, the paragraphs cited by the Examiner do not discuss or

mention updating phase distortion measurements. For the reasons discussed above with respect to Claims 2, 14 and 15, respectively, withdrawal of the rejection for Claim 15 is respectfully requested.

Claims 16-17 depend on independent Claim 2 and includes all of the elements of Claim 2. Paz does not make obvious any of the elements not shown in Comstock as discussed above with respect to Claim 2. For the reasons discussed above with respect to Claim 2, withdrawal of the rejections for Claims 16-17 is respectfully requested.

Claim 18 depends on independent Claim 2 and includes all of the elements of Claim 2. Paz does not make obvious any of the elements not shown in Comstock as discussed above with respect to Claim 2. In addition, the paragraphs cited by the Examiner do not discuss or mention an image correcting circuitry that includes an option menu circuit. For the reasons discussed above with respect to Claims 2 and 18, respectively, withdrawal of the rejection for Claim 18 is respectfully requested.

Claim 19 depends on independent Claim 2 and includes all of the elements of Claim 2. Paz does not make obvious any of the elements not shown in Comstock as discussed above with respect to Claim 2. In addition, the paragraphs cited by the Examiner do not discuss or mention an image correcting circuitry that utilizes a color palette. For the reasons discussed above with respect to Claims 2 and 19, respectively, withdrawal of the rejection for Claim 19 is respectfully requested.

Claim 20 depends on independent Claim 2 and includes all of the elements of Claim 2. Paz does not make obvious any of the elements not shown in Comstock as discussed above with respect to Claim 2. In addition, the paragraphs cited by the Examiner do not discuss or mention an image correcting circuitry that includes a

dithering circuit. For the reasons discussed above with respect to Claims 2 and 20, respectively, withdrawal of the rejection for Claim 20 is respectfully requested.

Claim 21 depends on independent Claim 2 and includes all of the elements of Claim 2. Paz does not make obvious any of the elements not shown in Comstock as discussed above with respect to Claim 2. In addition, the paragraphs cited by the Examiner do not discuss or mention an image correcting circuitry that includes an output interface circuit for adjusting timing of analog video signals. For the reasons discussed above with respect to Claims 2 and 21, respectively, withdrawal of the rejection for Claim 21 is respectfully requested.

Claim 23 depends on independent Claim 2 and includes all of the elements of Claim 2. Paz does not make obvious any of the elements not shown in Comstock as discussed above with respect to Claim 2. For the reasons discussed above with respect to Claim 2, withdrawal of the rejection for Claim 23 is respectfully requested.

Claim 24 depends on independent Claim 2 and dependent Claim 23 and includes all of the elements of Claims 2 and 23, respectively. Paz does not make obvious any of the elements not shown in Comstock as discussed above with respect to Claims 2 and 23. In addition, the paragraphs cited by the Examiner do not discuss or mention a frame buffer for storing pixel information. For the reasons discussed above with respect to Claims 2, 23 and 24, respectively, withdrawal of the rejection for Claim 24 is respectfully requested.

Claim 32 depends on independent Claim 27 and dependent Claims 30-31 and includes all of the elements of Claims 27 and 30-31, respectively. Paz does not make obvious any of the elements not shown in Comstock as discussed above with respect to Claims 2 and 30-31. In addition, the paragraphs cited by the Examiner do not discuss or

mention a compression algorithm which performs processes identified in Claim 32. For the reasons discussed above with respect to Claims 2, 30-31 and 32, respectively, withdrawal of the rejection for Claim 32 is respectfully requested.

Claim 34 depends on independent Claim 27 and includes all of the elements of Claim 27. Paz does not make obvious any of the elements not shown in Comstock as discussed above with respect to Claim 27. In addition, the paragraphs cited by the Examiner do not discuss or mention an image correction circuit. For the reasons discussed above with respect to Claims 27 and 34, respectively, withdrawal of the rejection for Claim 34 is respectfully requested.

Claim 35 depends on independent Claim 27 and and dependent Claim 34 and includes all of the elements of Claims 27 and 34, respectively. Paz does not make obvious any of the elements not shown in Comstock as discussed above with respect to Claims 27 and 34. In addition, the paragraphs cited by the Examiner do not discuss or mention an interface circuit for detecting a color paletter. For the reasons discussed above with respect to Claims 27, 34 and 35, respectively, withdrawal of the rejection for Claim 35 is respectfully requested.

Claim 36 depends on independent Claim 27 and includes all of the elements of Claim 27. Paz does not make obvious any of the elements not shown in Comstock as discussed above with respect to Claim 27. In addition, the paragraphs cited by the Examiner do not discuss or mention receiving horizontal or vertical synchronization signals. For the reasons discussed above with respect to Claims 27 and 36, respectively, withdrawal of the rejection for Claim 36 is respectfully requested.

Claim 37 depends on independent Claim 27 and includes all of the elements of Claim 27. Paz does not make obvious any of the elements not shown in Comstock as

discussed above with respect to Claim 27. In addition, the paragraphs cited by the Examiner do not discuss or mention determining one or more frequencies. For the reasons discussed above with respect to Claims 27 and 37, respectively, withdrawal of the rejection for Claim 37 is respectfully requested.

Claim 38 depends on independent Claim 27 and includes all of the elements of Claim 27. Paz does not make obvious any of the elements not shown in Comstock as discussed above with respect to Claim 27. In addition, the paragraphs cited by the Examiner do not discuss or mention detecting an active area of a video image. For the reasons discussed above with respect to Claims 27 and 38, respectively, withdrawal of the rejection for Claim 38 is respectfully requested.

Claim 39 depends on independent Claim 27 and includes all of the elements of Claim 27. Paz does not make obvious any of the elements not shown in Comstock as discussed above with respect to Claim 27. In addition, the paragraphs cited by the Examiner do not discuss or mention determining brightness of each pixel of the digital video signal. For the reasons discussed above with respect to Claims 27 and 39, respectively, withdrawal of the rejection for Claim 39 is respectfully requested.

Claim 40 depends on independent Claim 27 and includes all of the elements of Claim 27. Paz does not make obvious any of the elements not shown in Comstock as discussed above with respect to Claim 27. In addition, the paragraphs cited by the Examiner do not discuss or mention measuring phase distortion of the digital video signal. For the reasons discussed above with respect to Claims 27 and 40, respectively, withdrawal of the rejection for Claim 40 is respectfully requested.

Claim 41 depends on independent Claim 27 and includes all of the elements of Claim 27. Paz does not make obvious any of the elements not shown in Comstock as

discussed above with respect to Claim 27. In addition, the paragraphs cited by the Examiner do not discuss or mention measuring one or more pixels of the digital video signals. For the reasons discussed above with respect to Claims 27 and 41, respectively, withdrawal of the rejection for Claim 41 is respectfully requested.

Claims 42-43 depend on independent Claim 27 and includes all of the elements of Claim 27. Paz does not make obvious any of the elements not shown in Comstock as discussed above with respect to Claim 27. For the reasons discussed above with respect to Claim 27, withdrawal of the rejection for Claims 42-43 are respectfully requested.

Claim 44 depends on independent Claim 27 and includes all of the elements of Claim 27. Paz does not make obvious any of the elements not shown in Comstock as discussed above with respect to Claim 27. In addition, the paragraphs cited by the Examiner do not discuss or mention dithering the digital video signal. For the reasons discussed above with respect to Claims 27 and 44, respectively, withdrawal of the rejection for Claim 44 is respectfully requested.

Claim 45 depends on independent Claim 27 and includes all of the elements of Claim 27. Paz does not make obvious any of the elements not shown in Comstock as discussed above with respect to Claim 27. In addition, the paragraphs cited by the Examiner do not discuss or mention adjusting timing of the digital video signal. For the reasons discussed above with respect to Claims 27 and 45, respectively, withdrawal of the rejection for Claim 45 is respectfully requested.

Claim 46 depends on independent Claim 27 and includes all of the elements of Claim 27. Paz does not make obvious any of the elements not shown in Comstock as discussed above with respect to Claim 27. In addition, the paragraphs cited by the Examiner do not discuss or mention storing pixel information. For the reasons

discussed above with respect to Claims 27 and 46, respectively, withdrawal of the rejection for Claim 46 is respectfully requested.

### ***Correspondence and Fees***

No fees are believed to be necessitated by the instant response. However, should this be in error, authorization is hereby given to charge Deposit Account no. 03-3839 for any underpayment, or to credit any overpayments.

Please address all correspondence to the correspondent address for **Customer No. 26345 of Intellectual Docket Administrator, Gibbons, Del Deo, Dolan, Griffinger & Vecchione**, One Riverfront Plaza, Newark, NJ 07102-5497. Telephone calls should be made to Abhik A. Huq at (215) 446-6268 and fax communications should be sent directly to him at 215-446-6309.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Abhik A. Huq', with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Abhik A. Huq  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Registration No. 40,656

Gibbons, Del Deo, Dolan,  
Griffinger & Vecchione, P.C.  
1700 Two Logan Square  
18<sup>th</sup> and Arch Streets  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103